Single Audit Together with Reports of Independent Public Accountants

For the Year Ended June 30, 2016



JUNE 30, 2016

CONTENTS

| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS | 1 |
|--|----|
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS | 4 |
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE | 6 |
| Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 8 |
| Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 11 |
| Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs | 13 |
| Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs | 15 |



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

County Executive, Jan H. Gardner Members of the County Council Frederick County, Maryland

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Frederick County, Maryland (the County), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

The County's management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.



We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Frederick County, Maryland, as of June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof and the respective budgetary comparison for the general and agricultural preservation funds for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, the schedule of changes in pension fund net pension liability and related ratios, schedule of employer contributions for the Frederick County Employees Retirement Plan, the schedule of funding progress, and schedule of employer contributions for the Frederick County Retiree Health Benefit Plan be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Supplementary and Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining and individual fund statements, budgetary comparison schedules, capital assets used in the operation of governmental funds, statistical tables and the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards, as required by the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance), (collectively, the Supplemental Information), are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.



The combining and individual fund statements, budgetary comparison schedules, capital assets used in the operation of governmental funds and the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the accompanying combining and individual fund statements, budgetary comparison schedules, capital assets used in the operation of governmental funds, and the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory and statistical tables have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 21, 2016, on our consideration of the County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

SB & Company, IfC

Hunt Valley, Maryland November 21, 2016



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

County Executive, Jan H. Gardner Members of the County Council Frederick County, Maryland

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Frederick County, Maryland (the County) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

SB + Company, If C

Hunt Valley, Maryland November 21, 2016



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

County Executive, Jan H. Gardner Members of the County Council Frederick County, Maryland

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Frederick County, Maryland's (the County's) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. *Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major Federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016. The County's major Federal programs are identified in the Summary of Independent Public Accountant's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its Federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the County's major Federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our compliance audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major Federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major Federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our compliance audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major Federal program as a basis for designing auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major Federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Hunt Valley, Maryland January 17, 2017 SB + Company, If C

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

| Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title | Federal CFDA Number | Federal Expenditures | Cluster Total |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development | | | |
| Pass-through Maryland Department of Housing and Community Development: | | | |
| Emergency Shelter Grant Program | 14.231 | \$ 24,116 | |
| Home Investment Partnerships Program | 14.239 | 1,621 | |
| Lower Income Housing Assistance Program - Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation | 14.856 | 130,905 | |
| Housing Voucher Cluster: | | ,, | |
| Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher | 14.871 | 5,790,700 | |
| Total Housing Voucher Cluster | | , , | \$ 5,790,700 |
| Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development | | 5,947,342 | |
| U.S. Department of Interior | | | |
| Payments in Lieu of Taxes | 15.226 | 26,701 | |
| Total U.S. Department of Interior | | 26,701 | |
| U.S. Department of Justice | | | |
| Pass-through Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention | | | |
| Crime Victim Assistance | 16.575 | 99,530 | |
| Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant | 16.738 | 98,873 | |
| Total U.S. Department of Justice | | 198,403 | |
| U.S. Department of Labor | | | |
| Pass-through Maryland Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation | | | |
| Employment Service Cluster: | | | |
| Employment Service/Wagner-Peyser Funded Activities | 17.207 | 8,847 | |
| Disabled Veterans' Outreach Program | 17.801 | 2,091 | |
| Total Employment Service Cluster: | | | 10,938 |
| Trade Adjustment Assistance | 17.245 | 642 | |
| WIA Cluster: | | | |
| WIA Adult Program | 17.258 | 275,192 | |
| WIA Youth Activities | 17.259 | 321,654 | |
| WIA Dislocated Workers Formula Grants | 17.278 | 719,234 | 1.016.000 |
| Total WIA Cluster | 17.072 | 205 | 1,316,080 |
| Temporary Labor Certification for Foreign Workers | 17.273 | 395 | |
| Total U.S. Department of Labor | | 1,328,055 | |
| U.S. Department of Transportation | | | |
| Pass-through Maryland Department of Transportation | 20.205 | 5 262 622 | |
| Highway Planning and Construction - Federal-Aid Highway Program Metropolitan Transportation Planning and State and Non-Metropolitan | 20.205 | 5,263,623 | |
| Planning and Research | 20.505 | 58,150 | |
| Federal Transit - Formula Grants - Urbanized Area Formula Program | 20.507 | 3,324,340 | |
| Formula Grants for Rural Areas | 20.509 | 1,802,965 | |
| Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated | 20.608 | 1,280 | |
| Interagency Hazardous Materials Public Sector Training & Planning | 20.703 | 12,155 | |
| Total U.S. Department of Transportation | | 10,462,513 | |

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

| | Federal CFDA | Federal | Cluster |
|--|------------------|--------------|------------|
| Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title | Number | Expenditures | Total |
| National Endowment for the Humanities | | | |
| Pass-through American Library Association | | | |
| Promotion of the Humanities- Public Programs | 45.164 | \$ 8,035 | |
| Pass-through Maryland State Department of Education | | | |
| State Library Program | 45.310 | 23,067 | |
| Total National Endowment for the Humanities | | 31,102 | |
| U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | | | |
| Pass-through Maryland Department of the Environment | | | |
| Nonpoint Source Implementation Grants - Lower Monocacy | 66.460 | 31,818 | |
| Chesapeake Bay Program - Neighborhood Green Grant | 66.466 | 119,144 | |
| Total U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | | 150,962 | |
| U.S. Department of Education Pass-through Maryland Department of Education Special Education Cluster: | | | |
| Special Education - Grants to States - Individuals Disabilities | | | |
| Education Act (IDEA part B) | 84.027 | 164,532 | |
| Special Education - Preschool Grants - Individuals Disabilities | | | |
| Education Act (IDEA part B 619) | 84.173 | 15,942 | |
| Total Special Education Cluster | | | \$ 180,474 |
| Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States | 84.126 | 38,331 | |
| Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families with Disabilities - | | | |
| Individuals Disabilities Education Act (IDEA part C) | 84.181 | 215,326 | |
| Race to the Top - Early Learning Challenge | 84.412 | 10,000 | |
| Total U.S. Department of Education | | 444,131 | |
| U.S. Department of Health and Human Services | | | |
| Pass-through Maryland Office of Aging | | | |
| Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII, Chapter 3 - Prevention of Elder Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation | 93.041 | 3,390 | |
| Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII, Chapter 2 - Ombudsman Services | | | |
| for Older Individuals | 93.042 | 12,047 | |
| Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part D - Disease Prevention | | , , | |
| and Health Promotion Services | 93.043 | 10,335 | |
| Aging Cluster: | 75.045 | 10,555 | |
| Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part B - Grants for Supportive | | | |
| Services and Senior Centers | 03 044 | 219,204 | |
| Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C - Nutrition Services | 93.044 | | |
| Nutrition Services Incentive Program | 93.045 93.053 | 224,217 | |
| <u>e</u> | 93.033 | 55,554 | 409 075 |
| Total Aging Cluster Special Programs for the Aging - Title IV and Title II - Discretionary Projects | 93.048 | 4 222 | 498,975 |
| | | 4,322 | |
| National Family Caregiver Support - Title III, Part E | 93.052 | 66,647 | |
| Medicare Enrollment Assistance Program- MIPPA | 93.071 | 7,688 | |
| State Health Insurance Assistance Program | 93.324 | 25,003 | |
| Balancing Incentives Program | 93.778 | 30,883 | |

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

| | Federal CFDA | Federal | Cluster |
|--|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title | Number | Expenditures | Total |
| U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (continued) | | | |
| Pass-through Maryland Family Network | | | |
| Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grant - Family Support Center | 93.590 | \$ 27,000 | |
| Pass-through Maryland Department of Human Resources | | | |
| Promoting Safe and Stable Families | 93.556 | 170,000 | |
| TANF Cluster: | | | |
| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) | 93.558 | 26,709 | |
| Total TANF Cluster | | | \$ 26,709 |
| Child Support Enforcement | 93.563 | 997,364 | |
| Pass-through Maryland Institute for Emergency Medical Services Systems | | | |
| Hospital Preparedness Program and Public Health Emergency Preparedness | 93.074 | 10,068 | |
| Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services | | 1,890,431 | |
| Corporation for National and Community Service | | | |
| Pass-through Maryland Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation | | | |
| Retired and Senior Volunteer Program | 94.002 | 1,092 | |
| Total Corporation for National and Community Service | | 1,092 | |
| U.S. Department of Homeland Security | | | |
| Assistance to Firefighters Grant | 97.044 | 1,795,514 | |
| Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response | 97.083 | 47,280 | |
| Pass-through Maryland Emergency Management Agency | | | |
| Disaster Grants - Public Assistance | 97.036 | 854,566 | |
| Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) | 97.039 | 54,162 | |
| Emergency Management Performance | 97.042 | 31,573 | |
| Homeland Security Grant Program | 97.067 | 166,389 | |
| Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security | | 2,949,484 | |
| Total Expenditures of Federal Awards | | \$ 23,430,216 | |

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

All Federal grant operations of Frederick County, Maryland (the County) are included in the scope of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations part 200, Uniform Administration Requirement, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (the Single Audit). The Single Audit was performed in accordance with the provisions of the OMB Compliance Supplement (the Compliance Supplement). Compliance testing of all requirements, as described in the Compliance Supplement, was performed for the grant programs noted below. The programs on the Schedule of expenditures of Federal awards represent all Federal award programs with fiscal year 2016, cash or non-cash expenditure activities. For single audit testing, we tested to ensure coverage of at least 20% of federally granted funds. Actual coverage was 34%. The major programs tested are listed below.

Expenditures reported on the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles in OMB Circular A-122, Cost Principles for Non-Profit Organizations, or the cost principles contained in Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. The County has elected not to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

| | CFDA | | Federal |
|--|-------------|-----|------------|
| Major Programs | Numbers | Ex | penditures |
| U.S. Department of Transportation | | · · | _ |
| Highway Planning and Construction- Bridge Projects | 20.205 | \$ | 5,263,623 |
| U.S. Department of Homeland Security | | | |
| Assistance to Firefighters Grant | 97.044 | | 1,795,514 |
| Disaster Grants-Public Assistance | 97.036 | | 854,566 |
| Total Major Programs | | \$ | 7,913,703 |

2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of Federal awards (the Schedule) includes the Federal award activity of the County under programs of the Federal government for the year ended June 30, 2016. The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the County, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets, or cash flows of the County.

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

3. SUB-RECIPIENTS

Of the Federal expenditures presented in the Schedule, the County provided Federal awards to recipients as follows:

| Program | CFDA Number | Amounts Provided to Subrecipients | |
|--|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development | | | |
| Emergency Solutions Grant Program | 14.231 | \$ | 24,116 |
| U.S. Department of Homeland Security | | | |
| Homeland Security Grant Program | 97.067 | | 31,791 |
| Total | | \$ | 55,907 |

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

Section I - Summary of Independent Public Accountant's Results

Financial Statements

| Type of Independent Public Accountant's report issued | Unmodified |
|--|---------------|
| Internal control over financial reporting: | |
| Material weakness(es) identified? | No |
| Significant deficiencies? | None reported |
| Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? | No |
| Federal Awards | |
| Type of Independent Public Accountant's report issued on compliance for major programs: | Unmodified |
| Internal control over major programs: | |
| Material weakness (es) identified? | No |
| Significant deficiencies? | None reported |
| Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of the Uniform Guidance? | No |

Identification of Major Programs:

| Major Programs | CFDA Numbers | Federal Expenditures | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| U.S. Department of Transportation | 20.205 | ф | 5 0/2 /22 |
| Highway Planning and Construction- Bridge Projects U.S. Department of Homeland Security | 20.205 | \$ | 5,263,623 |
| Assistance to Firefighters Grant | 97.044 | | 1,795,514 |
| Disaster Grants-Public Assistance | 97.036 | | 854,566 |
| Total Major Programs | | \$ | 7,913,703 |
| Threshold for distinguishing between Type A and B programs Did the County qualify as a low risk auditee? | ı | | \$750,000 |
| Did the County qualify as a low risk auditee? | | | Yes |

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

None noted.

Section III - Federal Award Findings

None noted.

Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

There were no prior year findings in the June 30, 2015 single audit report.